

Boyd Circuit Court -
Caroline Carico Plaintiff
against } Petition in Equity
James L Carico } Defendant -

The plaintiff states
that on the 20th day of May
1857 she in her marriage with
the defendant James L Carico
and they lived together as
husband & wife happily
until July 1866. When the
defendant James L Carico without
cause or provocation on the
part of plaintiff abandoned
her without making
any suitable provision for
her or plaintiff or their infant
children and left the County
and has since living as she
understands in California
Mexico

The plaintiff states that she &
defendant have lived separately &
apart without any cohabitation
from for an space of five
consecutive years before
the making of this action
and that she defendant abandoned
her more than one year ago

and that they have not
come together since Sep-
tember - but

The plaintiff in this case is
now a resident of this State
and was for one year
or more before the com-
mencement of this action
and the cause of divorce
occurred & existed in this State
and that she is a resident
of this State, and
that her cause of
divorce occurred & existed
within five years next
before the commencement
of this suit.

Wherefore she prays
for the divorce and that
she be restored to the
right of a single and
unmarried woman,
and that she be restored
to her maiden name
Miss Caroline Little and
the custody of her four
children be adjudged to
her and for all
other proper relief.

Marion Jones
atty

State of Tennessee
Bay County

Thos B Kittle says
that the deft in this
action is a non resi
dent of this State.

Thos B Kittle

Subscribed & sworn to before me by
Thos B Kittle this 20th
July 1891 H. O. Hampton C. C.

Caroline Leavies Bay County Court
Deft

(vs.)
James S. Leavies vs. Hanning order
Deft

The defendant James S. Leavies is duly
warned to appear in this Court on the the
first day of its next October term and answer
the petition of the plaintiff Caroline Leavies
J. H. Preising, a regular practicing attorney
of this County is appointed to defend for said
James S. Leavies who being present accepts
the appointment.

Henry H. Hampton Clerk of said
Court this 21st day of July 1891
H. O. Hampton C. C.

Decis issued Oct 74.
attest M. A. Thompson

778

Caroline Barico

778

vs } Pet - m
} Equi -

Jas L Barico

1871 - 1872
Haming order
+ Branning to
defend July 20th 1871.
M. A. Thompson Clk

1871 - October
Haming order
+ Branning to defend.
1872 - June
Cause subjudice
of Divorce, filed at Albany

On more of Jones

Carico is Carico

Life is not before the Court.
The money order was made by
the Clerk in vacation - upon
the oath of Kettle who was not
her agent or ally -

Money order can only
be made by the Clerk in vacation
upon the affidavit of ~~Peff~~ his agent
or ally - Code Section 98 - The
Court can annul it upon proof
Code Section 98 -

It is submitted that the
order made by the Clerk is void
& that life is not before the
Court -

James Esterson
Clerk City

Caricoua laniro

Caricoua
laniro

The deposition of Levi Wetters
taken at Law Office of Moore
& Jones in Cannonsburg Ky on
the 25th day of August 1871
to be read as evidence
in the suit of an action
wherein Caroline Carico is
plff and Jas L Carico defen-
dant pending in the
Boyer Circuit Court
of Lawrence Co Ky for
plff.

I reside in Cannonsburg Boyer
County Ky and have been acquainted
with the plaintiff and defendant in
this suit for 14 years. They lived
together as man and wife up till
about 5 years ago at which time the
defendant James L. Carico left the
plaintiff Caroline Carico and her bed
and board without any means of
support. They have never lived together
as man and wife since he left her
about 5 years ago. He is now as I
understand in Colorado and has
never been to this Country since he
left. The def James L. Carico and
Caroline Carico have now living 4
children the product of their marriage.

The plaintiff now lives in this County
with her father who provides for and
supports her. She has lived in this
County for about the last ^{three} years
And further the deponent
sayeth not.

Cross-Examined.

I am a brother of the plaintiff
Caroline Carice. The only interest
I have in this suit is the interest
that a brother would naturally have
in the result of a suit in favor
of his sister.

And further this deponent sayeth
not.

Levi ^{his} Little
mark

The further taking of these depositions
in this cause are now adjourned
until tomorrow morning 9 o'clock.

Aug 29th 1871 J. M. S. Hampton
for Examiner.

State of Kentucky

Boyle County

J. M. S. Hampton
Examiner within and for the County and
State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the

foregoing depositions of Levi Nittes was
taken before me on the 29th day of August
1871 at the law office of Moore & Jones in the
town of Battellburg County and State
aforesaid. which deposition was read to
him by me in his presence & subscribed
by him in my presence he having been
first duly sworn by me before he gave his
testimony, that the evidence he should give
in the actions mentioned in the caption
should be the truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth, and his statements
reduced to writing by me in his presence.

J. D. Jones atty for Plt & D. N.
Brennigattly for Def being present during
the examination.

Given under my hand this August 29th 1871.

M. D. Hampton

Examiner Boyle & Co. Ky

Rec'd 1.00

136

Cardinal Canice

Depositions
for City.

James L. Canice

Filed by Examiner

Oct 14th 1876

No. 5, Hampton, D.C.

The deposition of Rev. Mr. Canice

NOTICE TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS.

Caroline Carico
Plff
vs.
Jas. L. Carico
Def

State of Kentucky,

Boyd Circuit Court.

NOTICE.

The Deft-ay J. H. Baunring Esq.
will take notice that on Wednesday the 2nd day of Octo-
A.D. 1871 the

above named will take the DEPOSITIONS of

and any witnesses, to be used as

evidence on the trial of the above case, at the Clerk's office of
Boyd Circuit Court
in the County of Boyd the State of Ky between the
hours of eight o'clock A.M. and six o'clock P.M. of said day, and that the taking of the same will be adjourned
from day to day, between the same hours, until they are completed. Dated Oct 1871

18

Service of the above notice is acknowledged, and proof of the official
character of the officer before whom the said depositions may be taken,
is, by agreement, waived; and, all exceptions in regard to same.

Attorney for

Attorney for

man whom you reside
and I am your neighbor
he is with the parties to
this action if you have
long have you known
them,

Ans.

I reside at Harrodsburg Ky
I am acquainted with the party plaintiff
I have been acquainted with plaintiff for 4
years & 6 months during which time Mr. Carico
has lived with her father and the defendant
have not lived in this country since I have
known the plaintiff I understand that
he resides in Colorado I don't see of him
contributing money to her support
since I have known her

The deposition of Geo. Wright
taken at the Clerk's office
of the 13th & Circuit Court
in Columbus Ky on
the 2nd day of October 1871
in the said evidence
in the trial of an action
wherein Caroline Leavelle is
Plff and Jas L Barrow Affen-
dant pending in the
13th & Circuit Court
of the said Ky at Ky
for Plff

State where you reside
and are you acquainted
with the parties to
this action if so how
long have you known
them,

Ans, I Reside at Germantown Ky
I am acquainted with the party Plaintiff
I have been acquainted with Plaintiff for 14
years & months during which time Mr. Barrow
has lived with her father and the Defendant
have not lived in this country since I have
known the Plaintiff I understand that
she resides in Colorado I don't see of him
contributing any thing to her support
since I have known her

I Have no interest in the Result of this Suit
George W. Wright

Also the disposition of
20 Wm. Baker Boston
at the same time &
place & for the same
purpose mentioned
in the Caption
Examine of Plffs
atly

State if you are acquainted
with plff in this
action & so state how
long you have
known her

Ans I am, and have known her for the last
two or three years

State where her husband
is & where he is

Ans I understand he is somewhere in the Western
territories

State has he been with
the plff since you have
known her

Ans Not to my knowledge

State if you are acquainted
with Levi Hutton and G. W.
Wright - Character of so

State what is

State what is
and I am and believe them to be men of good
character and are entitled to belief on
oath as I believe

W. H. Montague

State of Kentucky

Boyd County, Ky.

J. M. P. Hampton
Examiner for and within the County and
State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the
foregoing depositions of George W. Wright
and W. H. Montague were taken before
me on the 2nd day of October 1871 at the Clerk's
Office of the Boyd Circuit Court in the County
and State aforesaid, and their depositions
were read to them and subscribed by
them in my presence, they having been
first duly sworn before they gave their
testimony that the evidence they should
give in the action mentioned in the caption
should be truth, the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth, and their statements
were reduced to writing by themselves
in my presence, J. M. P. Hampton for Pitt
being present at the examination.

Given under my hand this October
2nd 1871.

J. M. P. Hampton

Examiner Boyd County

Fee \$2.00

State within it.
I am and believe them to be men of good
character and are entitled to belief on
oath as I believe.

W. H. Montague

State of Kentucky

Boyd County Exch.

J. H. P. Hampton
Examiner for and within the County and
State aforesaid, do hereby certify that the
foregoing depositions of George W. Wright
and W. H. Montague were taken before
me on the 2nd day of October 1871 at the Clerk's
Office of the Boyd Circuit Court in the County
and State aforesaid, and their depositions
were read to them and subscribed by
them in my presence, they having been
first duly sworn before they gave their
testimony that the evidence they should
give in the action mentioned in its caption
should be truth the whole truth, and
nothing but the truth and their statements
were reduced to writing by themselves
in my presence. D. J. Phillips for Pitt
being present at the examination.

Given under my hand this October
2nd 1871.

J. H. P. Hampton

Examiner Boyd County

Fee \$2.00

NOTICE TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS.

Caroline Carico
Plff
vs.
Geo L. Carico
Def

State of Kentucky.

Boyd Circuit Court.

NOTICE.

The Def's Atty - H. H. Manning & Co.
will take notice that on Monday the 27th day of March
A. D. 18 72, the Plff

above named will take the DEPOSITIONS of

sundry witnesses, to be used as

evidence on the trial of the above cause, at the Court office of Mason
James in the County of Boyd the State of Kentucky
between the
hours of eight o'clock A.M. and six o'clock P.M. of said day, and that the taking of the same will be adjourned
from day to day, between the same hours, until they are completed. Dated Mar 22 18 72

18

Service of the above notice is acknowledged, and proof of the same
made of the office before which the said deposition may be taken
is, to agreement, entered; also, all compliance is noted to date.

James
Attorney for
Plff
L. H. Manning Accepted, Attorney for

Carico
vs
Carico

The deposition of Thos B Kittle
Elias Kittle taken at the
law office of Moore & Jones
in Chattanooga, Boyd County
Tennessee, on the 28th day
of March 1872 before
me as evidence on
the trial of an action
of levantine Carico plainiff
and Jas L Carico defend-
ant - pending in the
Boyd Circuit Court
of the State of Tennessee
Thos B Kittle being
of lawful age first
duly sworn deposes
that

I am acquainted with the
parties to this suit. I have known the
defendant for at least 12 years. knew him for
6 years or there before he married Caroline
Carico, and the lady Caroline is my
daughter. Five years ago Sept
April the lady Caroline Carico came to my
house where I live in Jackson & Virginia
and has lived with me ever since. I never
seen the defendant before that time for about
4 or 5 years during a greater part of which
time he was in the Army. After he came

out of the army he left the p^{ty} Caroline Carice
she never lived with her since, and is
now as I am informed by a letter from
the def^t to the p^{ty} received about one year
ago in Colorado Territory.

The p^{ty} Caroline Carice has lived in
this County with for more than 3 years.

The p^{ty} Caroline Carice has 11 children
the product of the marriage between p^{ty} &
def^t who now live with her who had the
care and custody of the same.

It will be more than five years next
April since the defendant J^r S. Carice
has contributed any thing for the support
or maintenance of the p^{ty} Caroline
Carice or her children.

And further this deponent says & not.

Thomas D. Kittle

Also the deposition of Elias Kittle taken
at the same time and place and for
the same purpose, who after being first
duly sworn deposes and states the
above to questions by plaintiff counsel.

I am acquainted with the parties
to this suit have known the defendant
James S. Carice for about 15 years, and
the p^{ty} Caroline Carice & myself are

father and sister The p^{ty} Caroline Carice

brother and sister. The pety Caroline Carico
has resided in this State & County for
about 3 years.

It has been about 5 years since
the defendant Jas S Carico left and
abandoned the pety Caroline Carico and
they have never lived and cohabited together as
man and wife since that time during
which time he has never contributed
any thing for the support or maintainance
of herself and children. The last time
we heard from the defendant he was in
Colorado.

And further this deponent sayeth
not.

Elias Kittle

State of Kentucky,
Boyd County, Ky.

I, H. S. Hampton Exam-
iner for Boyd County, do hereby certify
that the foregoing depositions of Thomas
B. Kittle & Elias Kittle were taken
before me and were read to & subscribed
by them in my presence at the time
and place and in the action mentioned
in the caption: the said Tho B Kittle
& Elias Kittle having first been duly
sworn before they gave their testimony
that the evidence they should give in

in this action should be the truth
the whole truth and nothing but
the truth and their statements were
reduced to writing by me in their
presence. J. D. Jones atty for the
pet being the only person present
during the examination.

I Given under my hand this
23rd day of March 1873
N. S. Hampton
Examiner for Rago Co

Exp^{ts} fees \$2.
pd.

108

Caroline Caraco

25 Depositions

for Pet

Car. Caraco

Examined by Examiner

March 23rd 1873

N. S. Hampton Clerk

The deposition of J. D. Jones

The deposition of W. W. Montague taken at the law office of Moore & Moore in the Town of Gallesburg, Mo. by consent of parties on the 29 day of May 1878. to be read as evidence in a case wherein Caroline Canice is plaintiff and James L. Canice defendant pending in the Boyd Circuit Court.

The deponent being of lawful age and first duly sworn states:

I am acquainted with the plaintiff Caroline Canice. Have known her some three or four years. She is a woman of good character. She resides with her father at Clinton Furnace in this County. I do not know where her husband James L. Canice is, but have understood that he was somewhere in the west. He has not to my knowledge lived with her since I knew her nor contributed anything to the support of her or her children. She has I think been supported by Thomas Kettle her father with whom she now lives. I am acquainted with Thomas & Elias Kettle who have given their deposition in this case. They are men of good moral character & worthy of belief & credit. Under oath W. W. Montague

State of Kentucky
Boyd County

I J. H. Kinner, Examiner
for Boyd County do certify that the
original deposition of W. H. Moulague
was taken before me, and was read to
and subscribed by him in my presence,
at the time and ^{and in the act} place mentioned in the
caption, the said Moulague having been
first sworn by me that ^{the} witness should
give in the action should be the truth the
whole truth nothing but the truth, and
his statement reduced to writing by
me in his presence, the plaintiff alone
being present by attorney.

J. H. Kinner Exr for B.C. Ky

524 726 #8

Caroline Caries

75th Depositions
for plaintiff

James L. Caries

Imp. May 3rd 1872

by Chamber
N. O. Hampton D. O.
for N. O. Hampton D. O.

The deposition of Wm. H. Caries taken at the
law office of Wm. H. Caries in the town
of Guilford by the court of justice
at 10 o'clock on the 15th of May 1872

Mya Laurent Court
Cecilia Corico Reff

ago -

Joe L Corico Sept -

This case being
submitted for judgment -


It is adjudged by the Court -

That - ~~Joe L Corico~~ is ~~an~~ ^{the} ~~plaintiff~~ ^{defendant} ~~Joe L Corico~~

and it is hereby ~~adjudged~~ ^{adjudged} that ~~the said~~ ^{the said} ~~plaintiff~~ ^{defendant} ~~Joe L Corico~~
brand ~~is hereby~~ ^{is hereby} ~~adjudged~~ ^{adjudged} from the ~~apparent~~ ^{apparent}
~~fact~~ ^{fact} and is

in ~~accord~~ ^{accord} to all ~~rights~~ ^{rights}
& ~~privilege~~ ^{privilege} of an ~~unmarried~~ ^{unmarried}
woman ~~and~~ ^{and} ~~unmarried~~ ^{unmarried}

~~It is further~~ ^{It is further} ~~adjudged~~ ^{adjudged}
that ~~Joe L Corico~~ ^{is} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~custodian~~ ^{custodian} ~~and~~ ^{and}
possessor of the ~~children~~ ^{children} now in
his custody



Corcio 128

128
Corcio

Corcio

Filed April 12, 1892
Washington, D.C.

13 No 5 page 75